

MEETING OF THE RAILWAY UNDERTAKING ADVISORY GROUP

19th of September 2017, Bettembourg

Participants

Railway Undertakings and associations

Corbeel, Nicolas	Lineas
Goethals, Lieven	Lineas
Lambert, Eric	CFL multimodal S.A.
Penso, Andrea-Marco	DB Cargo
Jacques, Arnaud	Sibelit
Czernecki, Nicolas	Sibelit
Velandia, Monica	VIIA
Berkeley, Tony	GB Rail Freight Group
Ferrari, Sandra	UIC

Executive Board

Bodieux, Pierre	SPF Mobilité, MoT Belgium
Haouchine, Antoine	MoT France

Regulatory Bodies

Behm, Mathias	RB Luxemburg
---------------	--------------

Management Board – Permanent Team

Thull, Daniel	CFL Infra
Geubelle, Michel	Infrabel
Van Crombruggen, Kris	Infrabel
de Mol, Guus	ProRail
Hamoniau, Claire	SNCF Réseau
Confais-Morieux, Guillaume	Permanent team
Vanbeveren, Thomas	Permanent team
Salimène, Mohamed	Permanent team
Maeselle, Matthieu	Permanent team

Expectations of the RAG

G. Confais-Morieux, Managing Director of the RFC NSM, welcomes the participants. The [agenda](#) is proposed.

E. Lambert, vice-speaker of the RAG and host of the venue at Bettembourg, welcomes the participants (Point 1 of the agenda).

The RAG members and the permanent team convene that the expectations of the RAG members, discussed during the pre-RAG meeting, will be addressed within the presentation 3 'Update Action plan of the RFC NSM' and point 4 'Expectations of the RAG based on input of the Pre-RAG' as they are not addressed in presentation 3 .

Presentations

2. What's new on the corridor:

- See [Presentation 2](#) made by G. Confais-Morieux (GCM).
- On the point 'Situation RFC Rhine-Alpine with the Rastatt tunnel':
 - o GCM gave a state of play concerning the handling of the Rastatt incident.
- On the point 'Contacts with stakeholders in the UK':
 - o GCM gave a state of play concerning the meeting between the RFC NSM, the EC and Transport for London, on 14th of September 2017.
 - o RFC NSM welcomed the intervention of Lord Berkeley of GB Rail Freight Group by way of a letter sent to London authorities that support the vision of RFC NSM on that topic.
- On the point 'Integration Geneva & discussion with Marseille port authorities':
 - o GCM explained that RFC NSM integrated Geneva into the catalog, but no PaP's are proposed in the upcoming TT.
- On the point 'Evolution CIP in the RFC NSM website':
 - o The Multi Corridor view of the Customer Information Platform can be consulted [by clicking here](#).
- Following point was added by E.Lambert:
 - o He informed the attendance of the 'Ferrmed' meeting in Marseille on the 12th of December;
- GCM gave an oral state of play concerning the ERTMS Deployment Action Plan, see presentation 3.3.2.

3. Action plan following previous RAG

- See [presentation 3](#) made by G. Confais-Morieux.
- On Action 2 and 5: [See presentation 3.1](#): Feedback TT 2018 & Strategy TT 2019 made by T. Vanbeveren;
 - o A. M. Penso asks if the proposed strategy will also have an impact on the actual stability of the PaP. T Vanbeveren and C Hamoniau point out that the new approach on how to publish and manage PaP requests is aimed at solving the problem of incorrect information in PCS, and thus different information in PCS and the national system. Especially, but not solely, this was a problem for France for TT2018, because of a malfunction of the interface between Gesico and PCS. Getting the correct information in PCS enables the C-OSS to coordinate and oversee the path construction, enforcing proper coordination at the borders, if necessary
- On Action 7 and 8: [See presentation 3.2](#) made by M. Salimène;
- Concerning 'ERTMS: situation in Luxembourg': [see presentation 3.3.1](#) made by M. Salimène:

- E. Lambert points out that the RU's will not have enough traction engines if there is no change in deadline dates due to issues regarding the software implementation by their suppliers on the traction engines. This will have repercussions on the Corridor.
- Concerning 'ERTMS Deployment Action Plan Feedback': [see presentation 3.3.2](#) made by M. Salimène:
 - RU's were asked by M. Salimène and the chair of the RAG to formulate their feedback on the DAP before the foreseen meeting of the 27th of September and the ExBo meeting of the 5th of October where the ERTMS Coordinator is invited.
- Concerning 'CNC & ERTMS coordinators invitation in October 2017': [see presentation 3.3.3](#) made by M. Salimène:
 - RU's were asked by GCM, the chair of the RAG and the chair of the ExBo (P.Bodieux) to formulate additional topics for the meeting of the 5th of October.
- On Action 9: [See presentation 3.4](#) made by M. Salimène:
 - N. Czernecki states clearly that failing is not an option on that topic;
 - In response, G. de Mol points out that the focus must be train run blocking factors on deviation routes.

4. Expectations of the RAG based on input of the Pre-RAG

- No additional topics were raised after the presentations of point 3, all expectations of the Pre-RAG were addressed during the presentations.

5. Presentation 'Update on Corridor KPI's and Train Performance Management

- [See presentation 5](#) made by T. Vanbeveren.
- The full performance report of 2016 can be consulted [by clicking here](#).
- No additional questions or comments were formulated by the RAG members.

6. Open Points and AOB:

- E. Lambert raised the topic of the Annex 7 of the new regulation. No news in the actual state of play, if any further information is available, this will be communicated to the RAG members.
- The RAG members agree to hold the next RAG on Wednesday 31th of January 2018, in Basel (Switzerland). Further venue details will be communicated as this has to be checked with the Swiss hosts.